Galaxy clustering in the CFHTLS

Henry Joy McCracken ...and a cast of thousands

CFHTLS-T03 photometric catalogues

- We use the 'official' cfhtls-t03 dataset with the exception that we add some uband data from COSMOS in the d2 field (this data will be public shortly)
- Depths reach AB 26 in all filters and all fields
- Photometric redshifts are calibrated using^h/_± the 8000 redshifts from the VVDS survey
- We then use this calibration to derive photo-zeds for the other fields (d2,d3,d4)
- Validity domain: 0.2<z<1.2 and 18<i<24.5
- Robust measurement of cosmic variance possible thanks to the four independent cfhtls fields



photo-zed comparison with DEEP2



Photo-zed comparison with DEEP2 II



Photo-zed comparison with DEEP2 III



Computing the comoving correlation length-I

$$\begin{split} \omega(\theta) &= \frac{H_0 H_\gamma}{c} \ \theta^{1-\gamma} \ \frac{\int_0^\infty N^2(z) \, r_0^\gamma(z) \, [x(z)]^{1-\gamma} \, E(z) \, F(z) \, dz}{[\int_0^\infty N(z) \, dz]^2} \\ \omega(\theta) &= A_\omega \theta^{-\delta} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Assuming w}(\theta) \text{ is a} \\ \text{power law...} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Relativistic limber} \\ \text{equation} \end{array} \end{split}$$

$$r_0^{\gamma}(z_{\text{eff}}) = A_{\omega} \left[\frac{H_0 H_{\gamma}}{c} \frac{\int_{z_1}^{z_2} N^2(z) \, [x(z)]^{1-\gamma} E(z) \, dz}{[\int_{z_1}^{z_2} N(z) \, dz]^2} \right]^{-1}$$

$$\omega(\theta) = \frac{DD - 2DR + RR}{RR},$$

Which you get from computing pair counts on your catalogue....

Computing the comoving correlation length

- For each galaxy in each redshift slice we compute the area under that galaxy's probability distribution function
- These areas are used as weights in the correlation function measurement
- This ensures that all information about the reliability of each photometric redshift is used
- The resulting measurements are then fitted with a power law with the appropriate finite-volume correction.



Computing the co-moving correlation length...

- We compute the projected correlation function w(theta) in each field using the same selection criteria
- Galaxies are selected in the redshift ranges where our photometric redshifts are most reliable, based on comparisons with VVDS spectroscopy
- Error bars presented in this work are 'true' cosmic variance error bars, based on independent measurements on the four cfhtls fields



Can photometric redshifts be used to measure galaxy clustering?



- Apply a simple magnitude-limited selection to the photometric redshifts and try to measure r0
- Excellent agreement between z-photo derived correlation and those derived with spectroscopic redshifts

Volume-limited samples

- Using the CFHTLS photo-zed we derive absolute rest-frame magnitudes for all galaxies
- We can also derive the best fitting spectral type based CWW templates





- Define two redshift ranges: 0.2<z<0.6 and 0.7<z<1.1; inside this range extract independent samples of different absolute luminosity
- Also define samples containing brighter galaxies in several redshift bins

Galaxy clustering by rest-frame colour

 $w(\theta)$

- At any given absolute luminosity, galaxies with redder rest-frame colours are always more strongly clustered than bluer galaxies
- More luminous objects are more strongly clustered.





Galaxy clustering strength as a function of absolute luminosity and colour

Galaxy clustering by type, luminosity and redshift

- At low redshifts, faint elliptical galaxies are strongly clustered
- Clustering amplitude of late type galaxies does not depend on luminosity in either redshift range





Early-type galaxies

Summary of results ...



Evolution of galaxy correlation lengths in volumelimited samples

- We can also create a series of volume limited samples at fixed luminosity which we can follow from low redshift to high redshift
- In this case we are following only the brightest part of the galaxy luminosity function
- In this absolute luminosity range, clustering amplitudes change little for red and blue populations
- However, for the full galaxy sample, the increasing fraction of luminous blue starburst galaxies at high redshift causes r0 to decrease



Relative bias between blue and red galaxy samples

- Can compute the relative bias between red and blue populations. At low redshifts the different in clustering amplitudes between the red and blue population is large
- At high redshifts this difference is smaller
- The relative bias between the full galaxy population is close to 1 for bright galaxy samples (consistent with Marinoni et al. 2005)



Slope of w(theta)

 Thanks to the large coverage of the CFHTLS fields we can easily measure the slope of w(theta) (in all cases we carry out simultaneous indepenent fits to amplitude and slope





- At low redshifts, more luminous ellipticals have a steeper slopes
- The slope for spiral objects is independent of absolute magnitude and redshift

Conclusions and what it all means

- For the full galaxy population, galaxy clustering amplitude depends on absolute luminosity
- Separating objects by rest frame absolute luminosity, this dependence is less pronounced
- For early type galaxies, at z~0.5, faint galaxies are strongly clustered
- For late-type galaxies, clustering strength does not depend (strongly) on absolute luminosity
- Clustering amplitude of bright spirals and ellipticals does not depend on redshift, but for the full galaxy population it decreases with redshift
- Faint elliptical galaxies inhabit dense environments
- Faint spiral galaxies inhabit low density environments
- 'Second parameter' effects are important; luminosity is not evervthina!